## How to Give an Applied Micro Talk Unauthoritative Notes

Jesse M. Shapiro

Chicago Booth and NBER

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

#### Motivation

• Your audience does not care about your topic

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- You have 1-2 slides to change their minds
- Make them count
  - anecdotes
  - facts
  - policy questions

#### Question

- State a research question
  - Policy/counterfactual question: what would happen if ...?
  - Estimate of an important "deep" parameter: how forward-looking are consumers?
  - Test of an important theoretical prediction: does revenue equivalence hold in...

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

• Or, better yet, all three!

### Not Research Questions

- Applied research questions are motivated by economics and not the economics literature.
- Applied research questions are not
  - What happens if we apply the X model to industry Y?
  - What happens if we change assumption Z of the X model?
  - What happens when I re-estimate so-and-so's model on some other data?

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

## This Paper

- Outline what your paper does and why
- Convey why you have something to add
  - "Revisit the consumption CAPM using new high-quality consumption data."
  - NOT "Revisit the consumption CAPM because no one has estimated it in a few years."

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

## Preview of Findings

- Assume the audience is about to leave
- Make sure they walk out with something
- Be tangible but terse
  - Just enough of your methodology so results don't feel like magic

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Not so much that you crowd out the findings

## Good Level of Detail

- State adoption of mandatory maternity leave reduces women's wages by 5%
  - No effect for women past fertile age
  - No effect for men
- Implies approximately \$0.75 of every \$1 spent on maternity benefits are "paid" by mother

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

### Not Enough Detail

• Incidence of maternity leave policies mostly on wages

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E 9 < 0</p>

- Across state-years
  - Number of observations ranges from 543 (Delaware in 1976) to 17,645 (New York in 2005)
  - Mean wage for women is \$17.49/hour (2008 dollars); median is \$15.12/hour
  - Mean wage for men is \$25.16/hour (2008 dollars), median is \$22.99/hour
  - Average annual change in women's wages is 1.34%
- Regression model with state and year fixed effects
  - Weighted by number of observations in state-year
  - Exclude outliers using Tukey's method
  - Cluster standard errors (Bertrand Duflo Mullainathan 2003)
- Effect of maternity leave adoption is estimated to be
  - -\$1.70 per hour (SE = 0.30) for women
  - -0.21 per hour (SE = -0.20) for men
- Compare to cost of \$2.25 per hour of providing leave

## Data

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

### Goals

- State clearly the source of each variable
- Prevent confusion later: no one should be wondering
  - "Where did that come from?"
  - "Is that measured at the state level or the county level?"
- Anticipate concerns over pure measurement and address them now
  - Are data sources reliable?
  - Do the concepts you measure approximate those in your model?

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

## Credit

- Be sure to get credit for
  - Novel data
  - New ways of measuring something
  - New sources of variation
- But no one cares that
  - This dataset took a long time to download
  - There are a lot of different ways to weight the data and I had to read a manual

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Your Underwear

- No one wants to see your underwear
- And no one wants to know how you processed the data
  - First I collapse by state, county, year, and gender to make the dataset easier to look at

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

- Then I divide all variables by the 2008 CPI
- Then I remove observations with missing wages
- Then I remove observations with wages that are greater than \$100/hour...
- Then I collapse by state, year and gender
- Try this:
  - Average wage by state, year and gender, excluding outliers (>\$100/hour in 2008 dollars)

## Model

(日) (個) (王) (王) (王)

### Be Explicit

- Panel data model with year and state fixed effects
- Identification comes from exogenous law changes

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

#### Be More Explicit

• Panel data model with year and state fixed effects

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \delta_t + \beta x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

with

- $y_{it}$  = average wage in state i, year t
- $\alpha_i$  = state fixed effect
- $\delta_t = \text{year fixed effect}$
- $\varepsilon_{it} = \text{error term}$
- Identification comes from exogenous law changes

#### Be Even More Explicit

• Panel data model with year and state fixed effects

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \delta_t + \beta x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

with

- $y_{it}$  = average wage in state i, year t
- $\alpha_i$  = state fixed effect
- $\delta_t$  = year fixed effect
- $\varepsilon_{it} = \text{error term}$
- Identification:

$$\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_{it}|x_{it},\alpha_i,\delta_t)=\mathsf{0},$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

i.e. law changes are exogenous conditional on fixed effects

#### Define Your Bottom Line

- Let  $\gamma$  be the average cost (per hour) of providing maternity leave
- $\bullet\,$  Define  $\beta/\gamma$  as the fraction of maternity leave costs paid by the worker

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Even Better If

- You can lay out explicit economic assumptions that justify your econometric assumptions
- Your model connects directly to well-defined policy or welfare questions

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

### Pause To

- Discuss the most important vulnerabilities of your modeling approach
  - Why you think your model is a good approximation
  - What you will do to assess plausibility of your assumptions / sensitivity

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

#### Do Not Pause To

- Try to anticipate every possible criticism
- Talk about the other models you have tried
- Discuss fine points that no one will think of anyway

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### By Now

- The audience
  - believes in your question
  - understands what you measure and how
  - understands what you will do with your data and why

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

- Otherwise
  - the audience is lost
  - no one will be able to appreciate your findings
  - the talk is already over, you just don't know it
- No pressure though

### Interlude: Slides

(中) (문) (문) (문) (문)

## Principles for Slide Design

• Unlike reader of paper, audience can't skip or browse

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

- So every word is precious
- Slides should be clear
- Slides should be sparse: no extraneous detail

#### Content

- While you are talking, some people are not listening
- Instead they are looking at your slides
- Make the slides tell the story with your voice
- (Can you hear me now?)
- Don't put anything on a slide you don't plan to talk about
- Amount of space you devote should correspond to the emphasis you intend

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

No pauses

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQで

No pauses

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQで

Unless

No pauses

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E 9 < 0</p>

- Unless
- You

- No pauses
- Unless
- You
- Really

- No pauses
- Unless
- You
- Really
- Want

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

- No pauses
- Unless
- You
- Really
- Want

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

• to

- No pauses
- Unless
- You
- Really
- Want
- to
- Stress

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

- No pauses
- Unless
- You
- Really
- Want
- to
- Stress
- Something

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

• Your paper is a complete description of what you did and what you learned

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

- Slides cannot be complete—there is not enough time
- Leave documentation to the paper
- Use your talk to tell your story

## Scaling

• A 30 minute talk is not a 90 minute talk where you talk three times faster

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

- (Hat-tip to Matthew Rabin for teaching me this one.)
- Choose emphasis and detail for the amount of time you have

## Results

(中) (문) (문) (문) (문)

### Figures

• Use figures wherever possible to tell the story of what is in the data

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- More honest
- More complete
- More interesting
- More persuasive

#### Tables

- Use tables to summarize key magnitudes
- Not to
  - Show coefficient on every control variable (unless these tell an important story)
  - Show every robustness check you did (can summarize these in bullets)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

• Always be telling your story

#### Bottom Line

- Have a bottom line
- A single qualitative or (ideally) quantitative take-away
  - Measurement error in consumption data explains 27% of equity premium puzzle

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

- Not just another description of what you did
  - Estimated the consumption CAPM with high-quality data

### Conclusion

- You worked hard on your research
- Work hard on communicating it
- Make sure the audience
  - Cares about your research question
  - Understands how you answer it
  - Knows why they should believe you
  - Walks out of the room knowing what you learned

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

## And One More Thing

#### • Practice

## And One More Thing

- Practice
- A lot

## And One More Thing

- Practice
- A lot
- Give talks whenever you can

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?